(e) The concessions were granted several years ago and it is not possible at present to give the exact number of applications received.

(f) and (g) Vide answer to sub-divisions (c) and (d) above.

(h) For the reasons stated in answer to sub-division (e) above, it is not possible to give information on this point also.

(i) Yes.

(j) The concessions were revoked following the decision of the Government of India to withdraw them.

(k) Government Proceedings withdrawing the concessions were duly published in the Gazette.

(l) Government are not aware of their existence in British India now.

R. C. No. 2/06., in the Second Class Magistrate's Court–Chittur.

225. Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when was R. C. 2/1106 on the file of the Second Class Magistrate of Chittur filed and when was it finally disposed of ;

(b) how many witnesses were cited by the prosecution and how many were examined;

(c) did any of the prosecution witnesses put in a statement before the Magistrate to the effect that he is completely ignorant of the facts of the case ; if so how, many;

(d) if the answer to (c) be in the affirmative did the prosecution take cognizance of the petitions and did they give up this witness as hostile; if not, why not;

(e) how many days did it take for the prosecution to finish the examination in chief of the witness who had put in the petition of ignorance;

(f) who conducted the prosecution, the local Police or the Prosecuting Inspector;

(g) how many adjournments were there in all for the finish of the case;

(h) if the case was conducted by the Prosecuting Inspector, how many trips did he make; what was the total amount of T. A. (including batta) drawn by him in this case;

(i) how many adjournments were made at the instance of the prosecution and did the Inspector draw his T. A. on all these occasions;

(j) how many hearings took place without any work being done; what was the cause of so many adjournments and had the Inspector his T. A. on all these non-working days;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(k) what was the T. A. drawn by him for examining the witnesses who had pleaded ignorance of the case;

(l) who was the Prosecuting Inspector?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) Filed on 25th Thulam 1106 and disposed of on 19th Meenam 1106.

(b) 17 witnesses were cited of whom 16 were examined.

(c) Yes: six by a joint petition and one by a separate petition.

(d) No. The petitions were to the court and it is for the Magistrate to dispose of them.

(e) Three days. But other witnesses were also examined on these days.

(f) The Prosecuting Inspector with the help of the local Police.

(g) Twelve.

(h) Ten. Rs. 135—8—0

(i) Three. No T. A. was drawn by the Prosecuting Inspector for these.

(j) Six, of which one was a public holiday. The reasons for the adjournments are noted below:—

1. 28—4—1106. The Prosecuting Inspector was present in court. The case could not be taken up as the charge officer was unwell.

2. 19—5—1106. The Prosecuting Inspector wrs present, but the Magistrate was indisposed.

3. 6—6—1106. Holiday.

4. 17—6—1106. Adjourned for want of time.

5. 30—6—1106. The charge officer had some other work to do and hence the case was not taken up.

6. 28—7—1106. The charge officer was unwell, and the case was adjourned.

T. A. was paid to the Inspector for his journeys on 28—4—1106 and 19—5—1106.

(k) Rs. 42 for 3 trips from Trichur to Chittur.

(l) Mr. A. L. Devassy till 20—7—1106 and thereafter Mr. P. V. Raman Menon.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—How many other witnesses were examined on the day on which the witness put in a petition of ignorance?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—I believe one witness was examined. I have not got the file here. I speak from memory.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to see that these prosecutions are not made so costly? The T. A. itself comes to Rs. 135—8—0. There is no work in the District Magistrate's Court.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—In the view of the Government it is not costly.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to inquire why this case was dragged on for five months?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—The reasons are given there, for the adjournment.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—There have been 12 adjournments. In the Chittur Magistrate's Court it can be done without any adjournment at all. There is no work there.

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—I do not agree with that.

APPENDIX I.

(Vide answer to question No. 201).

No. of applications for appointments (1106)

	Name of the Departments	*No. of applications received in 1106.*
1	Huzur Secretariat	120 registered in 1106
2	Diwan Peishkar	172
3	Chief Engineer (P. W. D.)	219
4	Superintendent of Agriculture	84
5	Comptroller of Accounts	54
6	Chief Court	19
7	District Court of Anjikaimal	113
8	Do Trichur	95
9	District Magistrate	33
10	Director of Public Instruction	263
11	Government Advocate and Law Officer	2
12	Secretary, Legislative Council	Nil
13	Tramway Engineer	4
14	Conservator of Forests	29
15	Commissioner of Police	78
16	Superintendent of Registration	254
17	Excise Superintendent	47
18	Government Archaeologist	2
19	Registrar of Co-operative Societies	37
20	Registrar of Village Panchayats	90
21	Chief Medical and Sanitary Officer	212
22	Superintendent of Anchal	77
23	Stamp Superintendent (Press)	9 (Applications for unpaid volunteers have not been included)
24	Do (Stamp and Stationery)	5

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly